Soc 4141 Midterm Examination Guide (Uggen)

To prepare for the midterm exam, I suggest that you:

A. Catch up on anything you may have missed or put off:
   1. Complete Rios and Article readings.
   2. Make sure that your lecture notes are complete and see peers for notes (& us for clarification).

B. Try to see the big picture:
   1. Revisit your syllabus to ensure that you understand the course objectives.
   2. Study this outline as you review your course notes

C. Outline the sample questions (see below).

D. Be specific. Show your knowledge by citing specific evidence.

E. Talk to each other and contact me if you are confused on particular points. It is fine to work together, but be a good group member (nobody likes a “free-rider”).

OUTLINE TO DATE

I. Extent and distribution of Juvenile Delinquency

I. Legalistic and Sociological Definitions of JD
   A. LEGAL: law violation by persons who have not reached age of majority
   B. SOCIAL: concept of childhood, rules of age-appropriate behavior, formal institutional reaction

II. The Official Delinquency Picture: UCR
   A. Index v. Non-index Crimes
   B. Status Offenses
   C. Correlates and Trends
   D. State and Local Trends, relative to national picture
   E. Critique of “Police Picture”

III. The Self-Report Delinquency Picture: MTF and other surveys
   A. Class Survey – methods and results
   B. Prevalence and Incidence
   C. Correlates and Trends (delinquency and drug use – going up or down? Since when?)
   D. Critique of self-reports

IV. The Crime Victimization Picture: NCVS
   A. Correlates and Trends
   B. Intersection of race, age, and sex in homicide (and other) victimization
   C. Critique of victimization measures

V. Summary of 3 pictures and “bivariate correlates” (age, sex, race...)
   A. Uggen & McElrath: 6 Social Sources of the crime drop (punishment, policing, opportunities, economics, demography, long-term social dynamics)

II. Theories of Delinquency

I. Transition: from Correlates to Causal Models
   A. Why not skip theories?
   B. Criteria of Causality
   C. Levels of Explanation

II. Biology, Psychology, and Individual Treatment
   A. Background: early biological positivists
   B. Terrie Moffitt’s (1993) Adolescent-Limited and Life-Course Persistent typology
   C. CWB evidence on Moffitt (by Moffitt)
   D. Age, cognition, and “prefrontal circuitry” (2016) and juvenile/adult age cutoffs
   E. Age distribution of crime and genocide (Nyseth Brehm et al. 2016)

III. Classical School: Deterrence and Rational Choice
   A. Background and Assumptions from Beccaria and Bentham
   B. Conceptual Tools: General and Specific Deterrence; certainty and severity
C. Simple model (stealing Abby’s laptop): \[ Y = P \times \text{reward} - (1-P) \times \text{costs} \]
D. Critique and Extensions; CWB summary by Pratt et al. on certainty, severity, non-legal sanctions
E. Anthony Petrosino et al. (2003) on Scared Straight meta-analysis

IV. Sutherland’s Differential Association Theory (1939)
A. Background and Assumptions (cultural relativism; human flexibility; learning; groups)
B. Conceptual Tools
1. Normative Conflict
2. Culture and Subculture
3. Differential Association Process (know process, but needn’t memorize 9 propositions)
4. Differential Social Organization
C. Critique and Extensions (Akers; Sykes & Matza – techniques of neutralization; Matsueda)
D. CWB Review by Akers & Jensen on Social Learning theory
E. Policy: Community Treatment/Guided Group Interaction (Provo and Silverlake)
F. Clampt-Lundquist et al. (2011) “Moving to Opportunity” in AJS (effects and group differences)
G. Heller et al. (2017) “Thinking Fast & Slow” CBT experiments in Chicago (know program & effects)

V. Hirschi’s Social Control Theory (1969)
A. Background and Assumptions (roots in Hobbes, Durkheim); normative consensus; crime is “natural”; absence of controls -> JD
B. Conceptual Tools: The Social Bond (Attachment, Commitment, Involvement, Belief)
C. Critique and Extensions (Gottfredson & Hirschi; Sampson & Laub)
D. Compare Differential Association and Social Control

VI. Matza’s Drift Theory (1964) [IF TIME]
A. Conceptual Tools: Drift, Neutralization, “Sounding”

VII. Labeling and Symbolic interaction in Life Course
A. Background: Self-image and identity; and Assumptions (Cooley; Mead); relativism, labels, power
B. Conceptual Tools
1. Primary Deviance
2. Secondary Deviance
3. Rule creators/moral entrepreneurs
C. Critique and Extensions: Matsueda’s symbolic interactionist theory
E. Policy: Diversion, decriminalization, due process, deinstitutionalization
F. Mears et al. (2016) – Help, Harm or Both? Wilson & Hodge 2013. Meta-analysis on diversion
1. Civil Citation programs and challenges
2. Effectiveness relative to standard treatment

VIII. Rios: know specific people and events & connect to delinquency theories
A. Gang definitions (not tested on this exam)
B. Transition to social-structural theories (not tested on this exam)

SAMPLE QUESTIONS

I. Sample Multiple Choice Questions
1. A key assumption of Hirschi’s social control theory is that
   (a) various subcultures in society endorse different norms and values
   (b) there is consensus in society over values and beliefs
   (c) formal punishment almost always deters the likelihood of future delinquency
   (d) criminologists must ask why we obey the law rather than why we disobey it
   (e) both (b) and (d) are correct

2. In describing Jose’s violence (p. 55), Victor Rios refers to Elijah Anderson’s “code of the street.” Which of the following is NOT consistent with Rios’ discussion of the code?
   a. preemptively attacking an enemy can prevent future victimization
   b. building a reputation for vengeance can deter aggression and disrespect
   c. low-income urban areas like Jose’s neighborhood are overpoliced but never underpoliced
   d. the code provides “street justice” when the formal justice system does not protect the boys
3. According to Petrosino et al. (p.53), Scared Straight programs result in
   a. “a decrease in criminality in the experimental group when compared to a no-treatment control”
   b. “an increase in criminality in the experimental group when compared to a no-treatment control.”
   c. “no difference in criminality in the experimental group when compared to a no-treatment control.”
   d. None of the above. Petrosino et al. evaluated diversion programs rather than Scared Straight

**SHORT ESSAYS (1-2 PARAGRAPHS)**
I. A Minnesota political party recently put this statement in its official agenda: “Juvenile crime is more violent, sophisticated and prevalent than before.” Write a paragraph supporting, qualifying, or refuting this statement. Be sure to refer to the trends in self-report and victimization surveys as well as official statistics.

II. Briefly explain Moffitt’s original 2-group typology, citing an example of each type and the sort of criminal career that it implies. Identify 2-3 strengths and weaknesses of this approach.

III. How did the “Moving to Opportunity” experiment affect delinquency of boys and girls in Clampet-Lundquist et al.? How did they explain these differences? Based on this research, do you think that the Chicago “Becoming a Man” program evaluated for boys in Heller et al. (2017) would work better, worse, or about the same for girls in the same neighborhoods? Explain.

IV. Marnie has close and intimate relationships with both her parents and friends. Both parents and friends, however, often violate the law.
   A. Would differential association theory predict that she is likely to become a criminal? Explain in a paragraph.
   B. Would social control theory predict that she is likely to become a criminal? Explain in a paragraph.

**MEDIUM ESSAYS (2-3 BLUEBOOK PAGES)**
V. Ugen argues that the “official picture” of juvenile delinquency is somewhat different than the self-report picture and the victimization picture.
   A. Briefly explain these differences, including race and sex differences and the overall trend in delinquency rates since 1980.
   B. What methodological factors might account for these differences? Critique both official statistics and the self-report method (you might refer here to our class self-report survey and victimization data).
   C. How would labeling theory account for these differences? How would Rios interpret them?

VI. Criminologists have written about the “age-crime curve” since the 1830s, trying to make sense of the fact that so much crime is committed by young people.
   A. Summarize the age distribution of crime. Is it universal across all types of crime?
   B. What is Moffitt’s (1991) explanation for the age-crime curve? Is there empirical support for her view?
   C. How do theories based on learning, social control, and labeling make sense of the age-crime curve?
   D. Massoglia and Ugen (2011) argue that people must “settle down” and desist from delinquency to be considered adults in society. How is delinquency and desistance tied to our subjective sense that we have become adults? What else predicts subjective adulthood?

VII. Choice and deterrence
   A. Does rational choice and deterrence theory only apply to economic or property crimes? Explain why or why not using the ideas, assumptions, and conceptual tools of the theory.
   B. To what extent does “choice” play a role in learning/differential association, social control, and labeling theories? Cite specific examples.
   C. What sort of policies does rational choice and deterrence theory suggest? How do they operate in the juvenile justice system?

VIII. A juvenile court official asks you, a local delinquency expert, to assist with a case: a 9-year-old boy had snuck onto a flight to Las Vegas, stolen a car, sneaked into a water park, and had been reviewed by child protection investigators. Because of his age, he cannot be charged with a crime. How might you use our course materials to
help make sense of this history and advise the official? What is typical about it and what is atypical? Be sure to
address the behaviors, age, and the tools of the theories, and the success of the programming options you discuss.

LONGER ESSAYS (3+ PAGES)

IX. Feminist criminologists, such as Meda Chesney-Lind, have argued that female delinquency cannot be
explained by theories designed to explain the behavior of boys: the "just adds girls and mix" approach to female
delinquency is ineffective.

A. What do arrest and self-report data tell us about gender differences in delinquency?
B. How would Sutherland's differential association theory explain this gender gap? (1 paragraph)
C. How would Hirschi's social control theory explain this gender gap? (1 paragraph)
D. How would labeling theory explain this gender gap? (1 paragraph)
E. Which of these explanations do you find most convincing? (1 paragraph)

X. Rios and individual-level delinquency

A. Are the data from Rios' first three chapters more consistent with a social control or a differential
association or a labeling or a rational choice interpretation of delinquent behavior? Cite specific people
and events regarding the role of the family, schools, peers, and other factors. (2-3 bluebook pages)
B. Briefly explain why his study is not consistent with the other theories mentioned? (1-2 bluebook pages)
C. What are the strengths and limitations of the ethnographic approach to studying delinquency? What
sort of biases might enter if we had no other sources of data on delinquency? (1-2 paragraphs)

XI. Sutherland wrote that criminals learn both “techniques of committing crime” and “motives, drives,
rationalizations and attitudes” about crime.

A. Briefly explain what sort of things are learned, citing at least 3 examples of each type of learning in Rios'
ethnography. (1-2 pages)
B. Do you think delinquency came naturally for the boys that Rios studied, or did it have to be learned in
interaction with family and friends? (1-2 paragraphs)
C. Based on our class survey and other course materials, do you think that boys like Jose and Tyrell commit
acts that are “typical” of those our class committed, or are they very exceptional? List at least one piece
of evidence for your position.

XII. Throughout the course, we consider how delinquency theory maps onto delinquency policy.

A. To what degree are diversion programs consistent with the concepts and assumptions of labeling
theory? How successful are these programs? Briefly explain their advantages and disadvantages.
B. To what degree is community treatment consistent with the concepts and assumptions of differential
association theory? Is it successful relative to, say, institutionalization?
C. To what degree is scared straight consistent with the concepts and assumptions of deterrence theory?
D. How would you implement a program based on social control theory? Is there any program in
Sherman et al’s What Works summary that applies the principles of social control theory?
E. Identify which approach you find most promising in preventing and/or controlling delinquency and
explain why you find it convincing in a sentence or two.

XIII. The FBI Uniform Crime Reports divides its crime index of serious offenses into "crimes against persons" and
"crimes against property."

A. About what percentage of total juvenile arrests are for personal index crimes such as armed robbery?
How has this changed since 1960? Since the mid-1990s? Can you see similar trends in the
victimization data for serious violent victimization? (1-2 paragraphs)
B. Explain the sex and race distribution of property crimes like theft according to self-report data in
lecture. Does the "self-report picture" for this crime match the "official picture"? (1 paragraph)
C. According to Uggen and McElrath (2014), what factors might account for the big decline in theft and
(especially) motor vehicle theft? (1 paragraph)
D. In what year did your parents turn 18? Where did they grow up? Were people in their cohort more
likely to commit delinquency? To be juvenile victims? How might you talk to them about your risk of
experiencing crime and delinquency relative to their risk when they were your age? (1 paragraph)