Week 4:

a. Psychology & Biology
b. Economics
c. Differential Association & Learning

last time

• Meaning and utility of theory
  – Evaluating “empirical evidence”
• Now: individual-level theories
  – Rational choice/deterrence (econ)
  – Moffitt’s 2-group (biology & psychology)
• Next: Individual-level sociological theory
  – Differential association & learning

intro to DA

• Background on Edwin Sutherland
  – U of M professor, 1926-29
  – Professional thief “Chic Conwell”
• Assumptions
  – Cultural Relativism
  – Change and Flexibility in Human Behavior
  – Delinquency is Learned
  – Delinquency is Group Behavior
conceptual tools

- Normative Conflict
  - Culture conflict and law
- Culture and subculture
  - Culture - knowledge, beliefs, norms, practices and "shared understandings"
  - Subculture - group with norms, values, and beliefs distinct from dominant culture
- Differential Association Process (9)
- Differential Social Organization (rates)

the DA process (9)

1. Criminal behavior is learned
2. ... in interaction with others in a process of communication
3. ... within intimate personal groups.
4. The learning includes a) techniques and b) motives, drives, rationalizations & attitudes.
5. The specific direction is learned from definitions of the legal code as favorable & unfavorable.
6. One becomes criminal because of an excess of definitions favorable to law violation over definitions unfavorable.
7. Differential associations vary in frequency, duration, priority & intensity.
8. This learning process involves the same mechanisms as any other learning.
9. Criminal behavior is not explained by general needs ($) and values, since non-criminal behavior expresses the same needs & values.
[10. Differential Social Organization explains rates]
extensions and policy

- Extensions
  - Sykes & Matza (1957) Techniques of Neutralization
  - Symbolic Interactionism: Matsueda
  - Social Learning: Burgess & Akers (1966); DA as operant conditioning:
    - Base of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT)
      - Delinquency first learned thru imitation or modeling. Then differential reinforcement in groups. Definitions are cues (discriminative stimuli) for delinquency which begin as negative reinforcers (e.g., run over sis, define as "accident" not punished. A discriminative stimulus for running over sis)
        a) Positive Reinforcement (get rewards) strengthens behavior
        b) Negative Reinforcement (avoid pun.) strengthens behavior
        c) Positive Punishment (get pun.) weakens behavior
        d) Negative Punishment (lose reward) weakens behavior

Techniques of Neutralization & Genocide (Bryant et al. 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Classic Technique</th>
<th>Number of Times Utilized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Responsibility</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of Injury</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of the Victim</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condensation of Aggression</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal to Higher Loyalty</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense of Necessity</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaphor of the Ledger</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Akers & Jensen CWB: Social Learning

- 50 years of “strong to moderate relations” between social learning & delinquency, drug use, and crime
  - Differential reinforcement: balance of anticipated or actual rewards & punishments following behavior
  - Imitation: esp. for initial acquisition
  - Support in family and peers
  - Adolescent alcohol & drug use (r^2 of .31-.68)
  - Expansion to “macro-level” (social structure) and global today
Matsueda’s symbolic interactionist model

[Now doing rational choice plus social learning (“updating’’)]

---

**d.a. policy**

- “Community Treatment”
  - harness power of the group – “guided group interaction” still used in MN
  - attempts to evaluate experimentally

- Provo Experiment (Empey ‘59-66)
  - Daily GGI + Work
  - Number of Arrests in 1st year:
    - Provo .55 versus .7 for probation group
    - Provo 1.1 versus 1.7 for institution group

- Silverlake replication
  - Percent rearrested
    - Silverlake 40% versus 44% for institution

- Change peers?

---

**Moving to Opportunity (big treatment)**


- Control and Lo-poverty Treatment
  - Chicago & Baltimore (move to Black MC nbhds)

- Change since ’94? Big effects on moms and girls (health, mental health, delinquency)
  - Boys: No effect on mental health; more substance use, behavior problems, property arrests

- Girls who moved had lower delinquency, but no effects or negative effects for boys
  - Routines; Norms; Nbhd navigation strategies (avoid trouble); Peer interactions; loss of “social fathers”
  - Cultural capital/culture conflict fed stereotypes & monitoring; lost protection & exposed to violence
critique

• DA is tautology: true by definition
• Differential receptivity (drug film)
• Origins of definitions
• DA is untestable (or really hard to test)
• DA doesn't specify learning process
• DA is too deterministic

lessons

• Groups and peers as correlates or causes of delinquency
  – Still debated
  – Gangs
  – Peers and desistance (Warr)
• Community treatment as effective as institutionalization (which isn't great) for non-violent delinquents

Week 5 Preview

social control and self control

• A. Cognitive Behavioral Approaches, Learning, and Control
• B. Social Psychological Theories: Social Control & Self Control