A. Introductions, Class Survey, and Defining Delinquency
[anonymous survey]

Notecards

- Name (spelling phonetically helps)
- Contact
  - Phone or email you check frequently
- Hometown
- Courses
  - Major/minor and related courses
- Experience
  - Work or internship (especially in criminal or juvenile justice systems)
- Future
  - Long-term career goals?
- Motivation
  - Why are you here?
- Interests (Optional)
  - Ever visited a prison or detention center? Any suggestions for projects, trips, or speakers?
- Identification (Optional)
  - Physical description and seating tendencies
Background

- Team
  - Uggen: “Who I am and how you can be too”
  - Professional and personal
  - Abby Linnett: Great experience!
- Time: Historical context (26 years)
  - Crime rates? Punishment?
- Space: MN context
  - University
  - Community

Syllabus and Logic of Course

- Extent and distribution of juvenile delinquency
  - Know this by next week; we will later argue about what the numbers mean, but arrests and survey results are “social facts” we must consider
  - Statistics give one view of delinquency while monographs give others
  - Mainly a lecture format; descriptive statistics
  - Cut through (media-fed) preconceptions about juvenile delinquency

Sociological Theories

- Delinquency as group or collective action
  - But rational choice/juvenile justice system is individualized and not geared for group behavior
- Recommended/honors: Cullen, Wright, Blevins & “empirical status” of theories
  - Tough reading! From the best sources
  - Recommended (esp. for newbies): Agnew text for theory basics (old edition fine)
- Format: Lecture, discussion, and application (in exams)
Application to Case Studies
Groups of “Data”

• Victor Rios
  – Familiar and unfamiliar subcultures (high school examples)
  – Relation of culture and subculture to social position
• Urban gangs
• News and events
• Format: Questions and Discussion

Juvenile Delinquency Policy

• What have we tried?
• What “works”? How do we know?
• Evidence?
• Format: Lecture, book, discussion, some video – ARTICLES
  – Saved money and time by assigning articles, but you need to read them
  – Articles available online are as important as two books you purchased

Course Requirements and Expectations

• Reading varies from quick to difficult
  – Readings on coursepage
  – http://www.soc.uno.edu/~socen/4141.htm
  – Or go to chrisuggen.com and hit 4141:
• Grades
  – 20% Active Participation (10% contribution and 10% group/individual exercises)
  – 25% Midterm
    • Know extent and distribution; work with theories
    • Vote on format
  – 25% Working Paper or Service Learning Option
    • OR: bigger research project/proposal for grad students and ambitious undergrads
  – 30% Final Exam – format TBA
• Course Policies
  – Turn in work on time
  – Cheating? Really?
  – Laptops and electronics (2 minute rule)
  – Grading: convert grades to points in spreadsheet, rank, and draw lines (A vs B vs C grades).
  – Note on triggers and offensive materials
  – Note on course page (pdf)
  – [http://www.soc.umn.edu/~uggen/4141.htm](http://www.soc.umn.edu/~uggen/4141.htm)

• Teaching philosophy
  – Anonymous grading, except for participation
  – Evaluations
  – Accessibility
  – Learn both social facts and big ideas about them

---

Defining and Measuring Delinquency

---

Purpose

• Define juvenile delinquency legally and sociologically
  – What do “delinquents” have in common?
  – Why make a distinction between crimes committed by juveniles and those committed by adults?

• Social creation of “childhood” as a distinct state in the life course
  – Kids gain: more humane, less exploited
  – Kids lose: economically and emotionally dependent

• Definitions are changing social constructions
  – texts differ
**Defining delinquency**

- A juvenile is someone who has not reached the “age of majority”
  - Juvenile status is defined by age, but varies by jurisdiction
  - Upper bound (“age of majority”) is usually 17, but sometimes 16 (GA, MI, MO, TX, WI) or 15 (NC) – all states have transfer rules
    - Minnesota is 17 (Minn. Stat. § 260B.007)
  - “Raise the Age” movement (VT to 19; CT to 18; NY to 17; bills in MA, AZ to 18)
  - Lower bound (“age of reason”) was 7 in English Common Law & ~5 states.

- Many states have no lower limit (age 10 in Minn.)

---

**Legal Definitions**

**Juvenile Court Jurisdiction**
- Children who violate the (adult) criminal law
- Status offenders: children who violate laws prohibiting conduct for children (e.g., truancy, runaway)
- PINS/CHIPS: “persons in need of supervision”/“children in need of protection or services” (MN)
  - These children do not have to have violated the law to be within the jurisdiction of the juvenile court
  - Juveniles can be waived to adult court or excluded from the juvenile court based on age and offense criteria – move to “blended sentencing” in most states

**Juvenile delinquency**
- Law violation by persons who have not yet reached the age of majority
Sociological Definitions

• Concept of childhood
  – Childhood in middle ages? (5th to 15th c)
    • Infanticide, abandonment, wet nurses, swaddling, communal l
  – Recent creation of concept (17th c)
    • Economically and emotionally dependent for 2 decades
    • Denied pleasures and responsibilities of adulthood

• Rules of age-appropriate behavior
  – Ideal: supervised, disciplined, modest, diligent, obedient

• Formal institutional reaction to violation
  – 1899 Juvenile Court: less formal, civil, fewer due process rights

The Policy Moment

• Schiraldi, Western, and Bradner & NIJ (2015)
  – Changing transition to adulthood -> Young adult court for 18-24
  – “Raise the Age” movement. Neurological and social basis?
  – Greatest race/ethnicity disparities at 18-24
  – Call for “Age-Responsive Criminal Justice System”
    • Justice system response reproduces (& worsens?) inequalities
      (and heighten “legal cynicism”)

• John Laub & WT Grant (2014)
  – Emerging Adult Justice Learning Community (2017-2020)
    • Profs: Western, Schiraldi, Osgood, Benani, Fader, Jones, Uggen…
    • Practitioners: Judges, practitioners, DAs, RDs, reentry programs
  – “Stubborn Facts” on victimization and offending
    • “Chronics” (Wolfgang): 6% of boys did half the offenses
    • Concentrated by age, sex, race, class, and neighborhood
  – 4 Ds: Decriminalization, Diversion, Due Process, Deinstitutionalization

3 Pictures:

(1) The “Police Picture” of Juvenile Crime

(Uniform Crime Reports)

Data reduction: let’s “bullet-point” the evidence
Distribution of Juvenile Arrests for Index Crimes, 2017
(Note: Rape is estimated, not reported for 2017)

Percent Distribution of US Juvenile Arrests by Crime Category, 2017

juvenile arrest rate, 1980-2017
Percentage of Juvenile Arrests involving Violent Index Crimes, 1960-2012 (change in 2013)

Age Distribution of Index Crime Arrests, 2017 (peak age 18 property (but weird rise in 20s), 23 violent)

less violent crime and a “flatter” age profile in 2016 relative to 1994 peak
US Distribution of Juvenile Arrests within Race, 2015

MN juvenile arrest distribution by race, 2015
(MN Pop < 20: 71% White; 9% Black; 6% Asian; 9% Hispanic; 5% multiracial; 2% Am Ind)

Minnesota within-race distribution of juv. arrest
Minneapolis arrests (all ages) by race, 2009-11  
(source: Minneapolis Foundation)

Juvenile Arrests for Index Crimes in Hennepin County, 1975-2000

Homicide Victimization per 100,000 by Sex, Race, & Hispanic origin (CDC 2010)
3 “pictures”

- General trends (refer to UCR figures in last lecture):
  - Most youth crime is property crime
  - Overall rise since 1960s; violent rise in 80s-90s, decline since late 1990s

“bivariate correlates” of youth crime
1. Age: property peak at 18 violent later at 23 (older!)
2. Sex: males are 81% of violent index; 76% other (more female than recent past)
3. Race: African-Americans 51% of violent index
   - Interaction of age, sex, and race: In recent years, African American males aged 14-24 have made up about 16% of homicide victims and 27% of arrestees. This group is about 1% of the population
4. Ethnicity: Latino rates often fall between those of Black & White (e.g., 15% violent index; 16% overall), but data are limited
5. Class: kids from low-income census tracts most arrested
6. Chronics: 6% of male population responsible for 50% of arrests
7. Local trends: fewer violent as percent of total; but percent violent increased until mid-90s

problems with police picture

A. Unreported "Dark Figure" of Crime (tip of iceberg)
   1. Most youth crime is concealed (e.g., drug use) or unreported (e.g., theft)
B. Biases over Time and Space
   1. Changing norms (marijuana, prostitution)
   2. Changes in police priorities or "crackdowns"
   3. Police professionalization as emergent process
   4. Local biases, misclassifications
   5. Individual biases (implicit and explicit)
C. Omissions and Idiosyncracies
   1. No information on group offending
   2. UCRs stop at arrest stage (cases dropped)
   3. If multiple crimes, only most serious is reported
   4. No federal crimes in UCR (comparatively minor flaw)
next

Extent and Nature of Delinquency

• self-reports and victimization

• Rios + big picture on crime drop & policy

---

Juvenile Arrest Rate and Violent Arrest Rate per 100,000, 1980-2015